

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/083,657	02/25/2002	Ricky W. Kitchell	01017CIP	9489	
. 7590 05/04/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Billerica, MA 01821-7001			3725		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			۶,			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/083,657	KITCHELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Rosenbaum	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ehruary 2005				
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	.,—					
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-20,22-26 and 30-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23,25 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-17,19,20,24 and 30-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	∆ □ 1-1	(PTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

While the examiner does not agree with applicants' arguments concerning the admitted prior art and what it discloses, several references have been found to show the milling of niobium and used below to reject certain claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18,22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 23,25,26 remain*** withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8/23/04.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 3725

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-17,19,20,24,30-37 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 181-189 of copending Application No. 10/848,970. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because any differences found therein would have been obvious design choices only.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 15-17,19,20,24,30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Mehltretter or Hill in view of either Atkins or the British patent '816 (British). Both main references discloses that it is known to mill niobium in a ball mill. The media used in these mills is of generally the same size as each other which is an inefficient way of milling material. Both secondary references solve this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the use of different media size to efficiently mill material. In order to efficiently mill material, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify either Mehltretter or Hill by providing several sizes of media, taught to be desirable by both Atkins and British. The particular size of the final product would have been obvious based on it's desired use. Any other limitations in the claims do not solve any stated problems and thus do not present patentable subject matter.

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR